30

No. 90-985-CFX
Status: GRANTED

Title: Jayne Bray, et al., Petitioners
v.
Alexandria Women's Health Clinic, et al.

Docketed:
December 18, 1990

Court: United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit

See also:
90-1575

Counsel for petitioner: Sekulow,Jay Alan

Counsel for respondent: Schafer,John H., Burns,Sarah

Entry	Date	Note	Proceedings and Orders
1	Dec 18 1990	G	Petition for writ of certiorari filed.
2	Jan 22 1991		Brief of respondents Alexandria Clinic, et al. in opposition filed.
3	Jan 23 1991		DISTRIBUTED. February 15, 1991
4	Feb 1 1991	X	Reply brief of petitioners Jayne Bray, et al. filed.
6	Feb 15 1991		REDISTRIBUTED. February 22, 1991
7	Feb 25 1991		Petition GRANTED.
			**********
8	Apr 9 1991		Joint appendix filed.
9	Apr 11 1991		Brief amici curiae of Feminists for Life of America, et al. filed.
10	Apr 11 1991		Brief amici curiae of Daniel Berrigan, S.J., et al. filed.
11	Apr 11 1991		Brief amicus curiae of Rutherford Institute filed.
12	Apr 11 1991		Brief of petitioners Jayne Bray, et al. filed.
13	Apr 11 1991		Brief amicus curiae of Free Congress Foundation filed.
14	Apr 11 1991		Brief amicus curiae of Concerned Women for America filed.
15	Apr 11 1991		Brief amicus curiae of United States filed.
16	Apr 11 1991		Record filed.
		*	Lodging received, 9 copies
17	Apr 11 1991		Brief amici curiae of National Right to Life Committee, Inc., et al. filed.
18	Apr 11 1991		Brief amicus curiae of American Victims of Abortion filed.
19	Apr 11 1991		Brief amici curiae of Women Exploited by Abortion, et al. filed.
20	Apr 11 1991		Brief amicus curiae of Southern Center for Law & Ethics filed.
21	Apr 11 1991		Brief amicus curiae of James Jospeh Lynch, Jr. filed.
22	Apr 11 1991		Brief amici curiae of George Lucas, et al. filed.
23	Apr 19 1991	G	Motion of the Solicitor General for leave to participate in oral argument as amicus curiae and for divided argument filed.
24	May 13 1991		Motion of the Solicitor General for leave to participate in oral argument as amicus curiae and for divided argument GRANTED.
25	May 13 1991		Lodging received, 10 copies (Box)
26	May 13 1991		Brief amicus curiae of 29 Organizations Committed to Women's Health filed.
27	May 13 1991		Brief amicus curiae of Falls Church, VA filed.
28	May 13 1991		Brief amici curiae of National Abortion Federation, et al. filed.
29	May 13 1991		Brief of respondents Alexandria Clinic, et al. filed.
30	May 13 1991		Brief amici curiae of Attorneys General of the State of New

No. 90-985-CFX

Entry	Date	Note	Proceedings and Orders
			York, et al. filed.
31	May 13 1991		Brief amici curiae of ACLU, et al. filed.
32	May 13 1991		Brief amicus curiae of NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund filed.
33	Jun 12 1991		Reply brief of petitioners Jayne Bray, et al. filed.
34	Jul 12 1991		CIRCULATED.
36	Jul 24 1991		Certified copy of original record and proceedings, 8 Volumes, in one box received.
37	Oct 3 1991	X	Supplemental brief of petitioners Jayne Bray, et al. filed.
38	Oct 11 1991		Copy of the injunction dated September 20, 1991 received and distributed.
39	Oct 16 1991		ARGUED.
40	Jun 8 1992		The case is restored to the calendar for reargument.
41	Jul 21 1992		SET FOR ARGUMENT TUESDAY, OCTOBER 6, 1992. (1ST CASE).
42	Aug 14 1992	G	Motion of respondents for leave to file a brief on reargument filed.
43	Sep 2 1992		Motion of respondents for leave to file a brief on reargument GRANTED. with respect to arguments addressing the potential significance of Planned Parenthood v. Casey, 112 S. Ct. 2791 (1992), and the availability of injunctive relief for violations of 42 U. S. C. Section 1985(3), but denied with respect to arguments addressing the hindrance clause of 42 U. S. C. Section 1985(3). Petitioners are invited to file a supplemental brief on reargument to be filed on or before September 17, 1992, responding to respondents' arguments regarding Planned Parenthood v. Casey, and the availability of injunctive relief. Justice O'Connorwould grant the motion in its entirety. Justice Stevens, dissenting, with whom Justice Blackmun joins: In a statutory construction case that is important enough to merit regargument, the Court should err on the side of allowing full discussion of the entire statute at issue. Without having examined the supplemental brief attached to respondent's motion, and noting the absence of any objection from petitioners, I would therefore allow it to be filed as a matter of course. Because I intend to read the entire supplemental brief in preparation for the reargument -- despite the Court's peculiar order -- I would welcome comment by petitioners on all issues discussed therein.
44	Sep 16 1992	X	Supplemental brief of petitioners on reargument filed.
45	Oct 6 1992		ARGUED.